

Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project

Environmental Statement

Appendix 18.8.1: Quantitative Health Assessment Results

Book 5

VERSION: 1.0

DATE: JULY 2023

Application Document Ref: 5.3

PINS Reference Number: TR020005



Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Quantitative Health Assessment Results: Air Quality	2
3	Quantitative Health Assessment Results: Noise	6
Та	bles	
Tab	ole 2.1.1: Assessment results: Air Quality central CRF (used	d
in th	ne ES 2023 assessment)	2
Tab	ole 2.2.1: Assessment results: Air Quality low CRF	3
Tab	ole 2.3.1: Assessment results: Air Quality high CRF	4
Tab	ole 2.4.1: Sensitivity Test: Air Quality central CRF	5
	ole 3.1.1: Assessment results: Noise Central Case scenario	
(Ce	ntral CRF used in the ES 2023 assessment)	6
Tab	ole 3.2.1: Assessment results: Noise Slow Fleet Transition	

Case scenario (Central CRF used in the ES 2023 assessment)7



1 Introduction

1.1 General

- 1.1.1 This document forms **ES Appendix 18.8.1: Quantitative Health Assessment Results** (Doc Ref. 5.3) of the Environmental
 Statement (ES) prepared on behalf of Gatwick Airport Limited
 (GAL) for the proposal to make best use of Gatwick Airport's
 existing runways and infrastructure (referred to within this report
 as 'the Project').
- 1.1.2 This document presents the quantitative health assessment model results for indicative scales of changes to health outcomes as a result of changes in air quality and noise due to the Project. Further details on interpretation of this data is given in ES Chapter 18: Health and Wellbeing (Doc Ref. 5.1) Section 18.8 and Appendix 18.4.1: Methods Statement for Health and Wellbeing (Doc Ref. 5.3).
- 1.1.3 The relationship between a change in air quality or noise exposure and a change in health outcomes is described by concentration response functions (CRF). The CRF is determined through scientific studies. The uncertainty in the exposure-response relationship is expressed in the scientific studies by presenting three types of CRF. The central CRF reflects the best evidence for what the relationship between a change in exposure and a change in health outcomes is likely to be. This is the CRF the assessment uses primarily. The literature also provides confidence intervals expressed as low and high CRF to show the range of potential effect around this central CRF estimate.
- 1.1.4 This document provides the results of the quantitative analysis of health outcomes for central, low and high CRF measures. The low and high CRF measures are provided for information in line with transparent reporting to show the range. The outcomes based on the low and high CRFs are considered less certain than the central CRF. It would not be methodologically appropriate to quote the low and high CRF results independently of each other and independently of the central CRF.
- 1.1.5 The low and high CRF have been taken into account by the assessment and do not change the conclusion that the Project results in a very low scale of change in population health outcomes.



2 Quantitative Health Assessment Results: Air Quality

2.1 Central CRF

Table 2.1.1: Assessment results: Air Quality central CRF (used in the ES 2023 assessment)



Quantitative Health Assessment Model: Air Quality

EASY													
Results													
Central CRF													
	population equ	ortality risk in iivalent to this not actual dea	number of	Change expres	sed as an Inci	dence Rate	Change expresse	d as a percentage of incidence rate	of the baseline				
Mortality Attributable Burden (AB)	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038				
NO2 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	0.066	1.086	0.635	0.021	0.352	0.206	0.00000002%	0.00000026%	0.00000015%				
NO2 add'l mortality (adjusted to 55% of the CRF for PM2.5 element)	0.036	0.601	0.351	0.012	0.195	0.114	0.0000001%	0.00000015%	0.00000009%				
PM2.5 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	-0.043	0.096	0.069	-0.014	0.031	0.022	-0.0000001%	0.00000002%	0.00000002%				
Total add'l mortality (adjusted NO2 + PM2.5)	-0.007	0.697	0.420	-0.002	0.226	0.136	0.00000000%	0.00000017%	0.0000010%				
Hospital Admissions Attributable Burden (AB)													
NO2 add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.012	0.201	0.118	0.003	0.044	0.025	0.000000004%	0.00000007%	0.00000004%				
PM2.5 add'l respiratory disease admissions	-0.004	0.009	0.006	-0.001	0.002	0.001	-0.00000001%	0.000000003%	0.000000002%				
Total add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.008	0.210	0.124	0.002	0.045	0.027	0.00000003%	0.00000007%	0.00000004%				
PM2.5 add'l cardiovascular disease admissions	-0.004	0.010	0.007	-0.001	0.002	0.002	-0.00000001%	0.00000003%	0.000000002%				
Total add'l hospital admissions	0.004	0.220	0.131	0.001	0.048	0.028	0.00000001%	0.00000007%	0.00000004%				



2.2 Low CRF

Table 2.2.1: Assessment results: Air Quality low CRF



Quantitative Health Assessment Model: Air Quality

Results									
Low CRF									
	Change in mortality risk in the local population equivalent to this number of deaths (not actual deaths)			Change expres	ssed as an Inci	dence Rate	Change expresse	ed as a percentage of incidence rate	of the baseline
Mortality Attributable Burden (AB)	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038
NO2 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	0.023	0.381	0.223	0.007	0.123	0.072	0.0000001%	0.00000009%	0.00000005%
NO2 add'l mortality (adjusted to 55% of the CRF for PM2.5 element)	0.013	0.210	0.123	0.004	0.068	0.040	0.00000000%	0.0000005%	0.00000003%
PM2.5 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	-0.032	0.073	0.052	-0.011	0.024	0.017	-0.00000001%	0.00000002%	0.0000001%
Total add'l mortality (adjusted NO2 + PM2.5)	-0.020	0.283	0.175	-0.006	0.092	0.057	0.0000000%	0.00000007%	0.00000004%
Hospital Admissions Attributable Burden (AB)									
NO2 add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.007	0.117	0.068	0.002	0.025	0.015	0.000000002%	0.00000004%	0.00000002%
PM2.5 add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.003	-0.006	-0.004	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.00000001%	-0.000000002%	-0.000000001%
Total add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.010	0.111	0.064	0.002	0.024	0.014	0.00000003%	0.00000004%	0.00000002%
PM2.5 add'l cardiovascular disease admissions	-0.001	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.0000000004%	0.000000001%	0.0000000019



2.3 High CRF

Table 2.3.1: Assessment results: Air Quality high CRF



Quantitative Health Assessment Model: Air Quality

Results									
High CRF									
	Change in mortality risk in the local population equivalent to this number of deaths (not actual deaths)			Change expres	sed as an Inci	dence Rate	Change expresse	ed as a percentage of incidence rate	of the baseline
Mortality Attributable Burden (AB)	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038
NO2 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	0.105	1.734	1.015	0.034	0.562	0.329	0.0000003%	0.00000042%	0.00000025%
NO2 add'l mortality (adjusted to 55% of the CRF for PM2.5 element)	0.058	0.962	0.563	0.019	0.312	0.182	0.0000001%	0.00000023%	0.0000014%
PM2.5 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	-0.048	0.108	0.077	-0.016	0.035	0.025	-0.00000001%	0.00000003%	0.00000002%
Total add'l mortality (adjusted NO2 + PM2.5)	0.010	1.070	0.640	0.003	0.347	0.207	0.00000000%	0.00000026%	0.00000016%
Hospital Admissions Attributable Burden (AB)									
NO2 add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.017	0.289	0.169	0.004	0.063	0.037	0.0000001%	0.00000010%	0.00000006%
PM2.5 add'l respiratory disease admissions	-0.011	0.024	0.017	-0.002	0.005	0.004	-0.00000003%	0.0000001%	0.00000001%
Total add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.007	0.313	0.186	0.002	0.068	0.040	0.000000002%	0.0000010%	0.00000006%
PM2.5 add'l cardiovascular disease admissions	-0.007	0.017	0.012	-0.002	0.004	0.003	-0.000000002%	0.00000005%	0.000000003%
Total add'l hospital admissions	0.000	0.330	0.198	0.000	0.071	0.043	0.000000000%	0.00000011%	0.000000069



2.4 Central CRF Sensitivity Test

This sensitivity test uses the average (mean) concentrations of NO₂ and PM_{2.5} across the 177,962 receptors to calculate the health outcome estimates. The same formula, baseline data and CRFs were used. This is a methodological verification exercise to confirm the findings through independent calculation. It also demonstrates in terms of proportionate EIA methods that a calculation using the total receptor number and the average concentration can be as robust in reaching an estimate to the population level health outcome as calculating the sum of multiple (in this case 177,962) individual receptor level health outcomes using individual receptor concentrations.

Table 2.4.1: Sensitivity Test: Air Quality central CRF

MAKING COMPLEX EASY	Quantitative	Health Ass	essment Mo	del: Air Quality							
Results											
Sensitivity Test: Central CRF											
, and the second	population eq	nortality risk ir uivalent to this (not actual de	number of	Change expres	sed as an Inc	idence Rate	Change expressed as a percentage of the baseline incidence rate				
Mortality Attributable Burden (AB)	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038	2029	2032	2038		
NO2 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	0.066	1.088	0.635	0.021	0.352	0.206	0.00000002%	0.00000026%	0.000000159		
NO2 add'l mortality (adjusted to 55% of the CRF for PM2.5 element)	0.036	0.601	0.351	0.012	0.195	0.114	0.0000001%	0.0000015%	0.000000099		
PM2.5 add'l mortality (unadjusted)	-0.043	0.096	0.069	-0.014	0.031	0.022	-0.00000001%	0.00000002%	0.000000029		
Total add'l mortality (adjusted NO2 + PM2.5)	-0.007	0.698	0.420	-0.002	0.226	0.136	0.0000000%	0.00000017%	0.000000109		
Hospital Admissions Attributable Burden (AB)											
NO2 add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.012	0.201	0.118	0.003	0.044	0.025	0.000000004%	0.00000007%	0.000000049		
PM2.5 add'l respiratory disease admissions	-0.004	0.009	0.006	-0.001	0.002	0.001	-0.000000001%	0.00000003%	0.0000000029		
Total add'l respiratory disease admissions	0.008	0.210	0.124	0.002	0.045	0.027	0.00000003%	0.00000007%	0.00000004		
PM2.5 add'l cardiovascular disease admissions	-0.004	0.010	0.007	-0.001	0.002	0.002	-0.000000001%	0.00000003%	0.000000002		

0.004

0.220

0.131

0.001

0.048

0.028

0.000000001%

0.00000007%

Total add'l hospital admissions

0.00000004%



3 Quantitative Health Assessment Results: Noise

3.1 Central Case Scenario, central CRF, low CRF and high CRF

Table 3.1.1: Assessment results: Noise Central Case scenario (Central CRF used in the ES 2023 assessment)

MAKING COMPLEX EASY	Quantitative H	lealth Asses	sment Mode	l: Noise								
Results												
	Change in morta to this nu	ality risk in the l mber of deaths		_	Change expre	ssed as an Inc peop	_	er 100,000	Change expre	ssed as a perce	entage of the b	aseline rate
Health Outcome	2029	2032	2038	2047	2029	2032	2038	2047	2029	2032	2038	2047
CENTRAL CASE SCENARIO												
Central CRF												
Stroke incidence	0.003	0.135	0.138	0.137	0.015	0.720	0.834	0.837	0.0000001%	0.0000062%	0.0000072%	0.00000729
Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD) incidence	0.004	0.231	0.235	0.235	0.022	1.228	1.425	1.430	0.0000001%	0.0000071%	0.0000083%	0.0000083
IHD mortality	0.004	0.184	0.187	0.186	0.021	0.978	1.131	1.135	0.0000001%	0.0000041%	0.0000047%	0.0000047
Depression incidence (aircraft)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000001%	0.0000168%	0.0000196%	0.00001979
Low CRF												
Stroke incidence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.006	0.246	0.285	0.285	0.0000001%	0.000002%	0.000002%	0.0000029
Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD) incidence	0.003	0.106	0.107	0.107	0.013	0.563	0.651	0.654	0.0000001%	0.000003%	0.000004%	0.0000049
IHD mortality	0.001	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.005	0.201	0.232	0.232	0.0000000%	0.000001%	0.000001%	0.000001
Depression incidence (aircraft)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000001%	0.000014%	0.000017%	0.000017
High CRF												
Stroke incidence	0.004	0.241	0.245	0.245	0.020	1.280	1.487	1.493	0.0000002%	0.000011%	0.000013%	0.000013
Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD) incidence	0.005	0.371	0.379	0.378	0.023	1.974	2.298	2.308	0.0000001%	0.000011%	0.000013%	0.000013
IHD mortality	0.006	0.323	0.328	0.328	0.030	1.716	1.991	1.998	0.0000001%	0.000007%	0.000008%	0.000008
Depression incidence (aircraft)	- 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.00000001%	0.000020%	0.000023%	0.000023



3.2 Slow Fleet Transition Case Scenario, central CRF, low CRF and high CRF

Table 3.2.1: Assessment results: Noise Slow Fleet Transition Case scenario (Central CRF used in the ES 2023 assessment)

COMPLEX COMPLEX	Quantitative F	lealth Asses	sment Mode	el: Noise								
Results												
	Change in morta to this nu	ality risk in the l mber of deaths			Change expre	ssed as an Inc peop	_	er 100,000	Change expres	ssed as a perce	entage of the ba	aseline rate
Health Outcome	2029	2032	2038	2047	2029	2032	2038	2047	2029	2032	2038	2047
SLOW FLEET TRANSITION CASE SCENARIO												
Central CRF												
Stroke incidence	0.007	0.161	0.135	0.145	0.028	0.610	0.701	0.800	0.0000002%	0.00001%	0.00001%	0.00001%
Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD) incidence	0.010	0.273	0.229	0.247	0.044	1.036	1.195	1.363	0.0000003%	0.00001%	0.00001%	0.000019
IHD mortality	0.009	0.219	0.183	0.197	0.039	0.830	0.951	1.087	0.0000002%	0.000003%	0.000004%	0.0000059
Depression incidence (aircraft)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0000004%	0.00001%	0.00002%	0.000029
Low CRF												
Stroke incidence	0.003	0.056	0.046	0.050	0.011	0.210	0.240	0.274	0.0000001%	0.000002%	0.000002%	0.0000029
Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD) incidence	0.005	0.126	0.105	0.113	0.023	0.479	0.548	0.627	0.0000001%	0.000003%	0.000003%	0.0000049
IHD mortality	0.002	0.045	0.037	0.040	0.009	0.172	0.195	0.224	0.00000004%	0.000001%	0.000001%	0.0000019
Depression incidence (aircraft)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0000004%	0.00001%	0.00001%	0.000029
High CRF												
Stroke incidence	0.010	0.284	0.239	0.257	0.044	1.076	1.246	1.420	0.0000004%	0.00001%	0.00001%	0.000019
Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD) incidence	0.014	0.436	0.369	0.396	0.060	1.650	1.922	2.186	0.0000003%	0.00001%	0.00001%	0.000019
IHD mortality	0.015	0.382	0.321	0.345	0.062	1.447	1.670	1.905	0.0000003%	0.00001%	0.00001%	0.000019
Depression incidence (aircraft)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0000004%	0.00002%	0.00002%	0.000029